

Why did Rat block GISTE?

Rami Rustom (GISTE)

1/1/2020

[https://discordapp.com/
channels/
304082867384745994/482
767045168201748/661732
474618904586](https://discordapp.com/channels/304082867384745994/482767045168201748/661732474618904586)

G: Better to spend your effort on truth-seeking instead of status-seeking. If somebody is gonna hate you for saying the truth, and they block you, that's great! TheRat blocked me today!

A: I didnt interpret Rat blocking you because he thought you were being rude. I interpreted that he blocked you because he thought you were being dishonest and not offering him any value. Not sure if he also thought you were evasive. Would you still think it's great that you got blocked for those reasons?

G: Also, why did TheRat think that I was dishonest when I criticized him for complaining about J derailing while rat was doing tons of meta instead of talking about the topic that he claimed that J derailed? Did he make an honest assessment of that? Did he review the discussion? No. So his belief that I was dishonest was created dishonestly. So why did he do that? I think it's because he was offended by my socially rude comments criticizing his comments.

G: So why didn't you block me for that same reason? And SS? TheRat said that he blocked me cuz what I say has no value. He was offended by what I said. What I said, that he didn't like, was criticism of his comments. My comments were socially rude.

Freeze: If I'm tracking your perspective correctly GISTE, you were offering an explanation that Rat blocked you for evasiveness, while Augustine also considered you evasive but didn't block you, therefore Rat's real reason might have been about your social rudeness and critical comments?

A: Excuse me? I said that I disagreed with your interpretation of why Rat blocked you. Not that I agreed with Rat. So why say this? It seems rather hostile. Now with that being said, my attempts to communicate with you have not been fruitful. I think I can add this last exchange to the list.

G: Didn't you (like Rat) think I was dishonest/evasive? I thought you did. So I was showing you how you and TheRat both thought I evaded but only Rat blocked me and you didn't. And I was trying to draw a distinction about why you (and SS) didn't while Rat did.

G: anyway, you get why I asked my question now?

G: Augustine, a more important point is this: Do you still think I was hostile?

A: But that makes no sense.
It could easily be the case that
both Rat and I find him evasive,
but Rat blocks people for that
reason
and I don't

A: I said you were evasive

G: ok. evasive and dishonest
are same

A: not really

A: You can avoid addressing points being made to you. But not lie about what other people said although you did just lie about me

G: wait, why do you think I lied
vs not lie?

G: I told you that evasive = dishonest, and I assumed you also believed that.

A: not in the sense I got.
re dishonest
The question still makes
sense even if I had said
were dishonest
it doesn't address my po

A: my point was your interpretation is different from mine
my reasons for not blocking you are not relevant to the interpretation of Rat blocking you

G: I think they are relevant

A: No my behavior is irrelevant
of Rats in relation to you.

G: why?

A: because we're not the same person?
That's like saying your interpretation of Rat blocking you hinges on the guy who just sold you coffee.

G: Re first sentence: So? I explained why they are relevant, and you're not criticizing my view and you're not providing an alternative view.

G: Re second sentence: That's not analogous to the situation we're discussing. I'll explain. The situation we're discussing is this: PersonA and personB both thought X, but PersonA choice to do C and personB choice to do D instead. But in the analogy you gave, PersonA and personB did not both think X.

G: why?

A: Btw I find this to be another evasion

G: why

A: we were talking about how my behavior is irrelevant to Rats now you switched to something else without resolving the first one

A: Yes.

G: My guess is that A thinks I was hostile because of his previous interpretation of me from a few days ago, not because of anything I said to him in this discussion.

G: ok augustine, but then what would be the differentiating factor causing you to not block me and rat to block me?

A: It doesn't matter.

A: I don't think you seem to realize that my blocking policy is utterly irrelevant to his.

I haven't even spoke to [TheRat] about blocking policies so why you're hung up on mine makes no sense.

I just don't get why GISTE thinks that my block policy is something he should take into account when talking about a completely independent person who has not talked to me about block policies or afiak even expressed them publicly. Neither have I.

Freeze: right, so now the disagreement is about GISTE's reasoning for asking that question

Which was about

> trying to draw a distinction about why you (and SS) didn't while Rat did.

G: A doesn't explain why it matters that he hasn't spoken to TheRat about their blocking policies or why it matters that their blocking policies are not public. My view does not depend on A having talked to TheRat about their blocking policies, nor on whether or not either of them published their blocking policies.

A: but we never resolved that
topic he brought up [about why
A's reasons for not blocking
GISTE have nothing to do with
the Rat's reasons for blocking
GISTE].
I get the feeling he doesn't want
to concede that it makes no
sense
so he switched to another topic
I find it very evasive
He just dropped it randomly and
moved on

G: So Augustine believes that I've changed my mind but that I'm hiding that I changed my mind. He's wrong. I haven't changed my mind.

G: So A draws the conclusion that I'm lying because of his ignorance of my view. In his words, "I just don't get why GISTE thinks that my block policy is something he should take into account when talking about a completely independent person who has not talked to me about block policies or afair even expressed them publicly. Neither have I."

G: A admits that he's ignorant of my view (an aspect of it), and then he draws the conclusion that my choice of meta discussion topic is random. Sure it seems random from his perspective, but if he understood my perspective, he would recognize that it's not random.