

VSE against Popper
Rami Rustom (GISTE)
1/2/2020
<https://discordapp.com/channels/304082867384745994/659937634369404968/662455111163052073>

VSE: Why do you think Popper isn't taught in epistemology classes? When you read that Audi book it should have made it quite clear. (Implication: Real philosophers think Popper's epistemology is wrong.)

A: I was going to say that I found no mention of Popper in that [Audi] book. Seems important thinker to skip entirely, no? I did a word search for "Popper"

c: The Audi book neither explains nor refutes Popper. It isn't truth seeking.

c: do you think there exists an article or book refuting Popper

VSE: I don't think Popper's view is coherent given classical logic.

J: Is there a written out explanation of why Popper is incoherent given classical logic that you would endorse?

VSE: Do [J] accept that classical logic is true and categorical?

J: I don't know of any issues with it so I think it's true. I'm not 100% clear what you mean by categorical in this context

VSE: Categorical means it applies to all forms of reasoning.

J: sure

VSE: Then if a critical aspect of your ideology relies upon invalid logic it would make that part of theory and any part which hinges on that theory invalid and thus not rational correct?

A: that means if Popper's theories violate classical logic Popper's theory is not rational?

VSE: Spot on.

G: You're implying that real philosophers think Popper's epistemology is wrong. So academia treats Popper's epistemology as wrong, but where's the written account of this judgement of Popper's epistemology? Does it not exist? Do you know?